

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ANDREW ZIMMERMAN, ET AL., )  
Plaintiffs )  
 )  
v. ) CIVIL ACTION NO. 03-30261-MAP  
 )  
CAMBRIDGE CREDIT COUNSELING )  
CORP., ET AL., )  
Defendants )

ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE  
WHY JOHN PUCCIO AND RICHARD PUCCIO  
SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT  
(Dkt. No. 336)

December 2, 2008

PONSOR, D.J.

On July 24, 2008, the court issued its Memorandum and Order adopting the Monitor's proposed order detailing expanded and additional powers necessitated by the violations of the Consent Decree committed by Defendants John and Richard Puccio. This order, among other things, required Defendants to submit detailed budgets to the court for approval immediately, required Defendants to submit accountings under oath, and clarified and expanded the scope of the Consent Decree.

Following issuance of this order, Defendants John and Richard Puccio remained in substantial and material non-compliance with the orders of the court. The evidence confirms clearly and convincingly that John and Richard Puccio ignored the court's orders and failed to comply with those

orders, despite having actual knowledge of their duties and responsibilities. Indeed, although the newly-appearing attorneys for John and Richard Puccio have attempted to explain their clients' protracted contumacy, the explanations are weak and unconvincing.

In short, the record is clear that John and Richard Puccio deliberately ignored and neglected their obligations under the court's orders. The court hereby finds that they are in contempt of these orders.

For the foregoing reasons, the Motion to Show Cause (Dkt. No. 336) is hereby ALLOWED, and the court finds Defendants John and Richard Puccio in contempt. The court will allow Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion to Appoint Receiver to purge this contempt.

It is So Ordered.

/s/ Michael A. Ponsor  
MICHAEL A. PONSOR  
United States District Judge