

ROBB EVANS

Receiver of the
Assets of James P. Lewis, Jr. individually and DBA
Financial Advisory Consultants, Income Fund Ltd. &
Growth Fund, Ltd.
11450 Sheldon St.
Sun Valley, CA 91352
Telephone: (818) 768-8100
Facsimile: (818) 768-8802

January 30, 2004

Dear Investor:

The purpose of this letter is to make sure that all known investors in Financial Advisory Consultants are aware of recent events and also to generally respond to inquiries about the receivership process that have been received from investors.

On December 22, 2003 the United States Securities and Exchange Commission filed a lawsuit against James P. Lewis, Jr. individually and doing business as Financial Advisory Consultants, Income Fund, Ltd., and Growth Fund, Ltd.

On January 6, 2004 the United States District Court entered an order appointing Robb Evans as Temporary Receiver over all assets related to James P. Lewis, Jr.

On January 22, 2004 the United States District Court issued a Preliminary Injunction and appointed Robb Evans as Permanent Receiver.

Also, on January 22, 2004 the Federal Bureau of Investigation arrested Mr. Lewis in Houston, Texas.

I have control of computer and other records for all known investors. These records include funds sent to Mr. Lewis by investors and amounts paid by Mr. Lewis to investors. At this early stage in the process, and based on a very limited review of some investor accounts, those records appear to be historically accurate, but further verification is required.

I have obtained copies of bank statements, cancelled checks, bank deposit details, and other financial information. My colleagues are currently in the process of reconstructing the financial history of Mr. Lewis and the companies under which he was doing business. From this reconstruction I hope to be able to show how Mr. Lewis spent the money you sent him and to learn if the records lead to any other accounts or assets.

On January 16, 2004 I filed a report with the District Court. That report estimated there is approximately a \$100 million difference between the original amount invested and the currently known assets. Most of the currently known assets are in the form of real property. Additional assets may be discovered during the financial reconstruction process. I have found nothing in the two weeks since filing that report that changes the estimate of the shortfall.

Many investors have inquired about the possibility of receiving hardship advances. Scores of very tragic individual situations have been brought to my attention directly or through one of my colleagues. Unfortunately, there are insufficient available assets to consider any type of equitable hardship advance procedure.

Many investors have also asked tax questions relating to IRAs and other matters. I am in the process of investigating these questions and hope to have some answers very soon. Generally, payments to investors with accounts not classified as IRAs and, were to an investor who has not received more than was invested, will likely be treated as a return of capital and no 1099 will be issued. The issue of how to deal with payments to an investor who did receive more than was invested is currently being researched.

I know that most of you are not familiar with the receivership process. In a matter such as this, the process will include selling the real property and other assets as soon as market values can be established and sale procedures are approved by the District Court. At this early stage, it also appears there may be possible legal claims that may be pursued in an effort to provide additional funds to those investors who have suffered a net out-of-pocket loss. I can assure you that any litigation filed will be based on a cost benefit analysis. Litigation is not a quick process and may require several years to complete.

As sufficient funds become available from the liquidation of assets and from any potential litigation, I will seek authority from the District Court to make interim distributions.

I have established a website at www.facreceiver.com and the website will be updated with any new information. The email address is: claims@facreceiver.com

Periodically, I will be filing progress reports with the District Court. Those reports will be posted on the website. If you do not have access to the Internet and wish to receive the reports, please complete and return the enclosed post card.

We are considering the possibility of convening a meeting of investors by March 31, 2004 to provide any available updates and to address individual concerns. If a meeting can be arranged, it will most likely be in Orange County, California.

The most cost effective methods of communicating with my colleagues and me are through email, the U.S. Postal service, or by facsimile. Telephone calls are the most expensive form of communication and I would encourage you to avoid telephone calls if you can.

At the conclusion of the January 22, 2004 hearing in the U.S. District Court, the Honorable Audrey B. Collins asked the Receiver to notify investors that it is improper to send correspondence directly to the Court. Please direct any correspondence to my office.

Very truly yours,

Robb Evans
Receiver