

1 HALL GRIFFIN LLP
HOWARD D. HALL, State Bar No. 145024
2 *hhall@hallgriffin.com*
JERED T. EDE, State Bar No. 273440
3 *jede@hallgriffin.com*
TIMOTHY A. BURNETT, State Bar No. 286516
4 *tburnett@hallgriffin.com*
JANE M. KUTEPOVA, State Bar No. 305254
5 *jkutepova@hallgriffin.com*
CHEYENNE S. SCHNEIDER, State Bar No. 285084
6 *cschneider@hallgriffin.com*
1851 East First Street, 10th Floor
7 Santa Ana, California 92705-4052
Telephone: (714) 918-7000
8 Facsimile: (714) 918-6996

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC

10
11
12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**
14

15 NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 PATRICK JOSEPH SORIA, an
individual; WEST H&A, LLC, a
19 Delaware Limited Liability Company;
WARRANTED EFFECTUATION OF
20 SUBSTITUTE TRANSFEREE INC,
AKA W.E.S.T Inc., a Delaware
21 Corporation; WESTWOOD LEGAL, a
California Corporation; WESTWARD
22 LEGAL, a California Corporation;
BRIGHTON LEGAL GROUP, PC, a
23 dissolved California Corporation; BLG
PC NATIONAL BY BRIGHTON
24 LEGAL GROUP, INC., a Delaware
Corporation; DEUTSCHE MELLON
25 NATIONAL ASSET, LLC, a Wyoming
Limited Liability Company;
26 CHRISTIANA WILMINGTON
GLOBAL ASSET CORP., a Delaware
27 Corporation; HBSC US IN ITS
CAPACITY AS LEGAL TITLE
28 HOLDER INCORPORATED. a

CASE NO. 2:18-cv-03041 DSF (RAOx)

JUDGE: Hon. Dale S. Fischer
CTRM.: 7D

**SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT RE
DEFENDANTS PATRICK J.
SORIA'S, WEST H&A LLC'S,
WESTWOOD LEGAL'S, and HBSC
US IN ITS CAPACITY AS LEGAL
TITLE HOLDER
INCORPORATED'S
COOPERATION AND
ADHERENCE WITH THE
CONTEMPT ORDER AND
FURTHER SHOWING OF
CONTEMPTUOUS BEHAVIOR**

*Filed concurrently with Declaration of
Neil Broom; Declaration of Jered T.
Ede; Declaration of Brick Kane*

Date: June 6, 2018
Time: 3:00 p.m.
Ctrm.: 7D

ACTION FILED: April 11, 2018

HALL GRIFFIN

1 Delaware Corporation; CAMDEN
 2 LEGAL GROUP, PC, a dissolved
 3 California Corporation; TAMYRA
 4 WHITE, an individual; GEORGE
 5 WESLEY JR. PIERCE, an individual;
 6 GRICELA MENDOZA, an individual;
 7 BERNARD GERMANI, an individual;
 8 REBEKAH BROWN, an individual;
 9 MICHAEL C. JACKSON, an
 10 individual; CYNTHIA LARA, an
 11 individual; F. MARTINEZ, an
 12 individual; JENNY DE LEON, an
 13 individual; ELBA CHAVEZ, an
 14 individual; RYAN ALEXANDER
 15 UROQUIZU, an individual; ROGER
 16 FRANKLIN, an individual; AND
 17 WHATEVER NAME THEY MAY DO
 18 BUSINESS UNDER; and DOES 1
 19 through 10 inclusive,
 20
 21 Defendants.

TRIAL DATE: None Set

HALL GRIFFIN

I. INTRODUCTION

22 Since this Court's May 30 Order finding Patrick Soria (“Soria”), West H&A
 23 LLC (“West H&A”), Westwood Legal (“Westwood”), and, HBSC US In Its
 24 Capacity As Legal Title Holder Incorporated (“HUCLTH”) (collectively, “Soria
 25 Defendants”) in contempt (the “Contempt Order”, *See* Dkt. No. 107) and requiring
 26 the Soria Defendants to fulfill 20 conditions to purge that contempt, the Soria
 27 Defendants have fulfilled none of them. The Court ordered Soria to turn over his
 28 phone without deleting anything. He failed to do this - only turning over his phone
 after deleting tens of thousands of chats, photos, contacts, and apps. The Court
 ordered the Soria Defendants to disclose and provide access to bank accounts,
 business locations, and property recordings to the Receiver. The Soria Defendants
 offered nothing. The Court ordered the Soria Defendants to turn over every
 payment received by the Soria Defendants since January 23, 2018 to the Receiver.
 The Soria Defendants gave the Receiver nothing. Indeed, the Soria Defendants have
 not so much as even been in contact with the Receiver since May 30, let alone taken
 steps to comply with this Court's conditions. Instead, the Soria Defendants have

1 engaged in the mass destruction of evidence - having deleted over 17,000 emails -
2 and have been focused on the adaptation and evolution of the fraud - having created
3 new companies and drafted new assignments for over two dozen properties.

4 It is evident that Soria and the Soria Defendants have violated and will
5 continue to violate this Court’s Orders.

6 **II. THE SORIA DEFENDANTS FAILED TO COMPLY WITH AND**
7 **INSTEAD HAS VIOLATED THE CONTEMPT ORDER**

8 The Soria Defendants have refused to comply with and in fact have directly
9 violated this Court’s Contempt Order. Indeed, Soria began violating the Court’s
10 Contempt Order (and lying about it) before the May 30, 2018 hearing was even over
11 by: (1) claiming that he left his phone in an “Uber” (when in fact he had left the
12 phone with his co-conspirator Garson Silvers); and, (2) by subsequently waiting a
13 couple of hours to “find” his phone so that he can have time to delete volumes of
14 evidence and information located on the phone. Soria did not comply with *one* of
15 the 20 conditions outlined by the Court in the Contempt Order.

16 **A. Blatant Violation of the Contempt Order**

17 Soria, West H&A, Westwood, and HUCLTH were supposed to comply with
18 this Court’s 20 conditions (Dkt. No. 107) in order to absolve themselves of
19 Contempt. Soria, West H&A, Westwood, and HUCLTH did not comply with *one*
20 *single condition*. The lack of compliance is described in more detail below.

21 The Contempt Order provides a number of conditions that require Soria
22 and/or the Soria Defendants to provide the Receiver with numerous assets,
23 documents, disclosures and contact information to the Receiver (conditions 1, 2, 3,
24 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 18, and 19). (Dkt. No. 107.) The Soria Defendants have
25 not provided *any* of the information outlined above to the Receiver or done anything
26 to satisfy these conditions. (*See* Declaration of Brick Kane (“Kane Dec.”), ¶¶ 3-4.)
27 Soria has not even attempted to *partially* cooperate – he has simply ignored this
28 Court’s contempt orders as it is relevant to the above 14 conditions.

HALL GRIFFIN

B. Financial Violations of the Contempt Order

Similarly, the Soria Defendants have not complied with a number of conditions dealing with the financial provisions of the Contempt Order as to the Receivership Defendants.

The Soria Defendants, in accordance with Condition 4 of the Contempt Order, were supposed to provide the Receiver with a list of all tax identification numbers for the Receivership Defendants. Soria Defendants have not provided *any* Tax ID numbers after the May 30, 2018, hearing for the Receivership, such as those for BLG PC National, Camden, and HUCLTH just to name a few. The Soria Defendants have not complied with this requirement. (*See Kane Dec.*, ¶¶ 3-4.)

The Soria Defendants, in accordance with Condition 10 of the Contempt Order, were supposed to turn over all of the funds received by the Receivership Defendants since January 23, 2018. The Soria Defendants have not turned over *any* funds, violating the Contempt Order, while simultaneously continuing to spend funds that belong to the Receivership Estate. (*See Kane Dec.*, ¶¶ 3-4.)

The Soria Defendants, in accordance with Condition 16 of the Contempt Order, were supposed to close any open PayPal accounts, provide the Receiver with any balance remaining in such accounts, and provide the Receiver with a closing statement. The Soria Defendants have not provided any proof to the Receiver that they have complied with Condition 16. (*See Kane Dec.*, ¶¶ 3-4.)

The Soria Defendants, in accordance with Condition 17 of the Contempt Order, were supposed to provide the Receiver with all debit, credit, and bank cards owned or used by the Receivership Defendants (no new cards are to be issued) and immediate access to any safe deposit box(es). Although, the Soria Defendants provided some cards to the Receiver at the time of the May 30, 2018 Contempt hearing, after the hearing Soria has yet to provide them with any other cards and/or funds. (*See Kane Dec.*, ¶¶ 3-4.)

///

HALL GRIFFIN

1 The Soria Defendants, in accordance with Condition 12 of the Contempt
 2 Order, were supposed to provide the Receiver with a list of and immediate access to
 3 every email used by any Receivership Defendant or any of its employees or agents,
 4 including but not limited to soria.patrick@gmail.com and
 5 patrick.joseph.soria@gmail.com. The Soria Defendants did not provide any such
 6 list to the Receiver. (*See Kane Dec.*, ¶¶ 3-4.)

7 The Soria Defendants, in accordance with Condition 20 of the Contempt
 8 Order, were supposed to provide the Receiver with Soria’s cell phone(s) and the
 9 requisite username/passwords required to access data on the phone(s) *and* were
 10 ordered not to delete or destroy anything from the phone(s) prior to turnover. Soria
 11 did provide his Cell Phone (albeit, without any sim card) to Plaintiff’s counsel (that
 12 had permission from the Receiver to receive same) hours after the hearing ended.
 13 (Declaration of Jered T. Ede (“Ede Decl.”), ¶¶ 3-5.) Furthermore, Soria deleted
 14 numerous text messages, calendar entries, call logs, and photos/videos from his
 15 phone after *explicitly* being told not to do so by this Court. (*See Declaration of Neil*
 16 *Broom (“Broom Decl.”), ¶¶ 3-4, Ex. B.) Soria did not merely fail to comply, he*
 17 *went out of his way to explicitly spoil evidence.*

18 **III. SORIA LIED AND SPOILED EVIDENCE**

19 On May 30, 2018, Soria told this Court that he could not provide his cell
 20 phone to the Receiver at the time of the hearing because he took an “Uber” and left
 21 it with the “Uber” driver. (*See Ede Decl.*, ¶2.) Yet, based on his restored phone
 22 records it appears that Garson Silvers, the “friend” he mentioned at the hearing,
 23 actually dropped him off at Court. Below is a detailed timeline of where the phone
 24 was (according to its location services) at various times during 5/30/18:

25 **2:40 a.m. to 1:05 p.m.:** Soria’s iPhone was at the Waldorf Astoria;
 26 **2:16 p.m. to 2:25 p.m.:** Soria’s iPhone was at “244 S. Palm,¹”
 27 **3:50 p.m. to 5:07 p.m.:** Soria’s iPhone was at “244 S. Palm;”

28 ¹ This address appears to be that of Soria’s financier, Garson Silvers.

HALL GRIFFIN

1 **5:15 p.m. to 7:51 p.m.:** Soria’s iPhone is back at the Waldorf Astoria.
2 *See* Ede Decl., ¶ 9, Ex. G. Based on the phone records and the location logs, it
3 appears that Soria may have had his phone as early as 5:11 p.m. *Id.* Soria did not
4 reach out to Plaintiff’s counsel and/or the Receiver informing them that he “found”
5 his phone until 7:05 p.m. (*See* Ede Decl., ¶ 2.)

6 What did Soria do during those 2 hours? His recovered phone logs show that
7 he accessed his Trulia account, his text messages, his Documents, his Address Book,
8 his Mobile Notes, and his iCalendar between 5:11-20 p.m. (*See* Broom Decl., ¶ 5.)
9 Further, the logs indicate that he sent and deleted a number of text messages during
10 that time. (*See* Broom Decl., ¶ 3, Ex. B.) Furthermore, Soria clearly *lied* when he
11 told the Court that he took an “Uber” and when he told the Court that he will not
12 delete any evidence on his phone. Soria was tactical, and assumed that everyone
13 else was, simply, not going to notice.

14 **IV. SORIA CONTINUES TO VIOLATE AN ORDER THIS COURT**
15 **ISSUED HOLDING HIM IN CONTEMPT BY DOING BUISNESS ON**
16 **JUNE 5, 2018**

17 This Court could not have been any clearer when it held Soria in Contempt,
18 Soria *cannot* continue doing business and he is effectively **shut down** whether he
19 likes it or not. Still, the *day* before he has to go to Court to present a progress report,
20 Soria brazenly decided to meet up with his co-conspirators in order to teach them
21 how to continue the fraudulent enterprise, so that if he is no longer able to work,
22 they can take over. On June 5, 2018, Soria sent a very specific email, from his
23 soria.patrick@gmail.com, outlining steps of how to get Fidelity to ensure numerous
24 Hijacked Properties. This email is sure to follow up with a meeting as the sentence
25 “see you guys later today” seems to indicate.

26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1 **V. SORIA’S MASS DESTRUCTION OF EVIDENCE PRIOR TO MAY 30,**
2 **2018 OSC HEARING**

3 Significantly, Soria has engaged in the clear and intentional mass destruction
4 of evidence. Soria’s spoliation is not permissible under law and is also a clear
5 violation of the direct orders given by this Court.

6 Under the Court’s Order Entering Preliminary Injunction Against Defendants
7 and Appointing a Permanent Receiver (“PI Order”), Defendants were specifically
8 enjoined from either directly or indirectly “destroying or altering evidence, whether
9 in physical or electronic form, or any other potential evidence, and/or moving office
10 locations.” (See Dkt. No. 46, 7:8-17.) The PI Order also expressly ordered
11 Defendants (“and all other persons in active concert or participation with who
12 receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise”) to “not
13 interfere in any manner, directly or indirectly with the custody, possession,
14 management, or control by the Permanent Receiver of Assets and Documents,” and
15 were enjoined from directly or indirectly “Destroying, secreting, erasing, mutilating,
16 defacing, concealing, altering, transferring or otherwise disposing of, in any manner,
17 directly or indirectly, any Document or equipment of the Receivership Defendants
18 and Defendant Soria...” (See Dkt. No. 46, 17:26-18:23.)

19 Contrary to those specific Orders of this Court, Defendant Soria on behalf of
20 the corporate Defendants intentionally destroyed evidence by deleting thousands of
21 emails, likely in addition to other items. The Extraction Report pertaining to Soria’s
22 cell phone on May 30, 2018 alone shows the extensive and blatant violations by
23 Soria of this Court’s orders through his numerous deletions. (See Broom Decl., ¶¶
24 3-4, Ex. B.) Particularly egregious, a mere hours before the OSC re his contempt,
25 Soria’s text message exchange with Median make his mass destruction of evidence
26 abundantly clear. (Id. at Ex. B p. 3.) Soria messaged Median that Median did not
27 inform Soria that erasing “my West holdings group emails online would’ve [erased]
28 them on my Outlook” and “I just lost all my emails.” (Id.)

HALL GRIFFIN

1 Soria's mass destruction of the evidence pertaining to this case is also
 2 abundantly clear based on the emails that Plaintiff's counsel has been able to obtain
 3 access to (despite Soria's repeated attempts to prevent their access, despite the
 4 Court's Orders). In fact, when Plaintiff's counsel first obtained access to the email
 5 referenced by Soria's May 30th text messages to Median,
 6 info@westholdingsgroup.com, it contained well over 17,000 emails. (Ede Decl., ¶
 7 11.) It now contains *a total* of about 60 emails, *none of which* even predates May
 8 30, 2018. (*Id.* at ¶11.) There are thus over 17,000 emails which Soria deleted while
 9 this case was proceeding and the injunction was in place in the short two week time
 10 span between May 14, 2018 and May 31, 2018.

11 It is thus evident that Soria has engaged in the mass destruction of evidence
 12 pertaining to this case (and many other cases pending, including federal and state
 13 criminal investigations). He deleted thousands of emails on this one email account
 14 alone, in direct contravention of the law and this Court's orders. He did so while the
 15 injunction was in place and despite knowing that he was destroying evidence
 16 relevant and necessary to this litigation, exhibiting only his blatant disrespect and
 17 disregard for the Court. On this basis alone, the Court should impose a coercive
 18 sanction to prevent Soria from further harmful and destructive conduct.

19 **VI. SORIA CONTINUES TO ENGAGE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT**
 20 **ORDERS**

21 Plaintiff last provided this Court with a report on May 25, 2018 as to
 22 Defendants' actions and inactions which constitute justification for holding them in
 23 contempt. (*See* Dkt. No. 90.) Despite being aware of the Court's orders and
 24 instructions, Soria and his co-Defendants have continued to engage in further
 25 violations of this Court's orders and the law in the mere ten days since Plaintiff's
 26 last report on top of the more than 75 violations set forth in Plaintiff's Reply. (*See*
 27 *Id.*) These violations make it clear that the Soria Defendants are simply continuing
 28 to do business and engaging in willful conduct violating Court Orders against them.

HALL GRIFFIN

1 The specific portions of this Court’s Orders that have been violated are all outlined
2 in **Exhibit 1** attached to this Supplemental Brief.

3 **A. Utilizing and Creating Additional Entities to Perpetrate Their**
4 **Scheme and Even More Fraudulent Recordings**

5 Despite two Orders by this Court against him and his companies, Soria has
6 nonetheless attempted to create and utilize new entities in order to continue
7 perpetrating his fraud and manipulating the public record. He is utilizing at least
8 two new corporate names, WorldWide Management Custom Services, Inc. aka
9 WMCS, Inc. and NexLex Real Estate Solutions, with Brett Wolcott to prepare,
10 execute, and record instruments against real properties in which they have no right,
11 interest, or lien in an effort to hijack those mortgages. (See Ede Decl. ¶ 13.) In fact,
12 Soria (or others on his behalf and/or at his direction) has prepared, executed,
13 notarized, and sought to record a minimum of 25 such additional instruments. (See
14 *Id.*)

15 Defendant Soria has even instructed Brett Wolcott to register at least one of
16 the companies, WorldWide Management Custom Services, Inc. aka WMCS, Inc. in
17 Wyoming, Delaware, Texas, and California. (Ede Decl. ¶ 12(b), Ex. J.) It is also
18 clear that the Soria Defendants have made efforts to start these new companies,
19 including preparing Operating Agreements, Minute Books, and preparing
20 Assignments of Deeds of Trust claiming assignment to and by these new companies.
21 (Ede Decl. ¶ 12(a), (c), Exs. I, K.) Soria is thus acting in direct violation of the
22 Court’s PI Order.

23 **B. Continuing to Unlawfully Conduct Business**

24 The PI Order specifically prohibits the Soria Defendants from continuing to
25 do *any* business. This was further emphasized in the Court’s May 30, 2018 Civil
26 Minutes, which state explicitly that “Defendant is not to conduct any further
27 business as set forth on the record and is to cooperate to produce the items listed
28 during the hearing.” (See Dkt. No. 109.)

1 Regardless, the Soria Defendants have clearly continued to do business and
2 have not stopped their operations. The Soria Defendants have gone so far as to
3 attempt to sell hijacked properties and represent that they “have several homes in the
4 area” and making efforts to schedule meetings to discuss it further. (Ede Decl. ¶
5 12(d), Ex. L.)

6 Furthermore, the Soria Defendants have continued to research properties, as
7 well as alter and falsify documents, including a Promissory Note which they altered
8 to include an alleged allonge to Pay To The Order Of: WMCS, INC. (one of their
9 new companies discussed above) without recourse and executed by Patrick Soria as
10 Managing Member of Deutsche Mellon National Asset, LLC. (See Ede Decl. ¶
11 12(f), Ex. N.)

12 Despite never providing such a listing to the Receiver as ordered by this
13 Court, Soria has disseminated an entire list of business names and addresses for the
14 corporate Defendants to his brother, Christian Soria (despite claiming he is acting at
15 his own direction). (Ede Decl. ¶ 12(g), Ex. O.) The Soria Defendants have also
16 changed user name email addresses for Defendant Integrititle as recently as May 27,
17 2018, despite being under the full and exclusive control of the Receiver. (Ede Decl.
18 ¶ 12(h), Ex. P.)

19 **C. Improper Use of Receivership Money and Businesses, and Failure**
20 **to Cooperate and Comply with Receiver**

21 Despite the Court’s PI Order specifying that the assets and business
22 operations of the Receivership Defendants were under the full and exclusive control
23 of the Receiver, Soria has continued to utilize the Receivership money to continue
24 the business. Soria has made efforts to continue Westwood Legal’s registration with
25 the Better Business Bureau, even making a \$550 payment for accreditation fees and
26 programs on May 26, 2018. (See Ede Decl. ¶¶12(i) and (j), Exs. Q and R.) He is
27 once again making payments to maintain services utilized for their business
28 operations, which was required by this Court to be turned over to the Receiver.

1 Soria has also continued to conduct “bank runs” for large amounts of cash and has
2 moved funds to a reloadable American Express card for his own use to thwart the
3 Court ordering he hand over all credit cards and debit cards to the Receiver at the
4 May 30, 2018 hearing. (*Id.* at ¶ 12(i) and (j)), Exs. I, J, and K.) The Soria
5 Defendants are pocketing the money received from borrowers who were intending
6 to pay their mortgages, but are instead paying for Soria’s personal expenses.

7 The Soria Defendants have continued to refuse to comply with the Receiver
8 as the Court’s PI Order specifies, and instead conducting activities which prevent
9 the Receiver from fully conducting its obligations to this Court. Meanwhile, they
10 have not provided any funds to the Receiver as this Court ordered. This is a clear
11 and ongoing violation of the Court’s orders.

12 **D. Failing to Notify Other Courts**

13 In addition, the Soria Defendants have failed to serve a copy of the Order
14 Entering the Preliminary Injunction Against Defendants and Appointing a
15 Permanent Receiver (Dkt. No. 46) on all parties to each action on each court in
16 which an action against Defendants, or any of them, is pending, as ordered by this
17 Court. (*See* Dkt. No. 46, 23:24-28; Ede Decl. ¶ 14.)

18 ///
19 ///
20 ///
21 ///
22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

HALL GRIFFIN

1 **VI. CONCLUSION**

2 The Soria Defendants have simply failed to do the things required by this
3 Court and have instead continued to operate their business as though no orders
4 against them have ever been issued.

5
6 DATED: June 5, 2018

HALL GRIFFIN LLP

7
8
9 By:



Howard D. Hall

Jered T. Ede

Timothy A. Burnett

Jane M. Kutepova

Cheyenne S. Schneider

Attorneys for Plaintiff

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC

HALL GRIFFIN

28

EXHIBIT 1

Exhibit 1

	Violation(s)	Date	Description of Violation	Exhibit
1.	PI Order 7:8-17; 8:10-13; 10:11-12; 17:26-18:23; 19:10-13; 19:25-20:9.	5/30/18	The Soria Defendants have deleted over 17,000 emails (and the attached documents) from the Receivership email, info@westholdingsgroup.com .	Broom Decl., ¶ 3, Ex. B. Ede Decl., ¶ 11.
2.	PI Order 7:8-15; 7:18-20; 7:24-8:15; 8:25-28; 9:10-12; 9:14-23; 10:3-5; 10:11-12; 17:26-18:6.	5/27/18	The Soria Defendants are creating, utilizing, registering, and attempting to conduct business operations via new corporate entities to continue their attempts to hijack properties, including WorldWide Management Custom Services, Inc. aka WMCS, Inc. and NexLex Real Estate Solutions.	Ede Decl., ¶ 13.
3.	PI Order 7:8-15; 7:18-20; 7:24-8:15; 8:25-28; 9:10-12; 9:14-23; 10:3-5; 10:11-12; 17:26-18:6.	5/27/18	The Soria Defendants emailed Brett Wolcott a list of 25 Assignments of Deeds of Trust on 25 properties, entitled “Going in Tomorrow for the First set of New Recordings” which correspond to recordings prepared by or on behalf of Soria’s new companies. The email contains links to 25 Assignments of Deeds of Trust which the Soria Defendants prepared and attempted to submit for recording on or about May 28, 2018.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(a), Ex. I.
4.	PI Order 7:8-15; 7:18-9:20; 17:26-18:6; 18:24-28; 19:14-21.	5/16/18	The Soria Defendants sent an email to Brett Wolcott instructing him to open Worldwide Management Custom Services, LLC in Wyoming, Delaware, Texas, and California.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(b), Ex. J.

	Violation(s)	Date	Description of Violation	Exhibit
5.	PI Order 7:8-15; 7:18-9:20; 17:26-18:6; 18:24-28; 19:14-21.	5/16/18	The Soria Defendants sent email correspondence to Brett Wolcott with an attached Assignment of Deed of Trust for Wolcott’s execution on behalf of NexLex Real Estate Solutions and alleging to hijack and claim assignment of a loan to Worldwide Management Custom Services, LLC. The Soria Defendants’ email also attaches a “Minute Book Certificate Book of Worldwide Management Custom Services, LLC A Limited Liability Company Organized Under the Laws of the State of Nevada,” which also indicates that an Employer Identification Number has been assigned, an Operating Agreement completed, and that it is claiming to be related to “Brave Management Inc MBR” and “Laughlin Associates, Inc.”	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(c), Ex. K.
6.	PI Order 7:8-15; 7:18-9:20; 17:26-18:6; 18:24-28; 19:14-21.	5/22/18	The Soria Defendants exchanged correspondences with A.D. at Fidelity National Title attempting sell to hijacked properties and representing that the Soria Defendants “have several homes in the area that may strike your fancy” and scheduling a time to speak further. The emails also reference that this litigation is pending.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(d), Ex. L.
7.	PI Order 7:1-15; 7:18-9:20; 17:26-18:6; 18:24-28; 19:14-21.	5/11/18	The Soria Defendants sent an email to Garson Silvers re newly established email account (soria.patrick@gmail.com) and that Defendant Soria needs another “bank run.”	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(e), Ex. M.
8.	PI Order 7:1-15; 7:18-9:20; 17:26-18:6; 18:24-28; 19:14-21.	5/17/18- 5/18/18	The Soria Defendants exchanged correspondences with Brett Wolcott seeking MERS Milestones regarding hijacked properties. Defendant Soria also sent Wolcott an attachment entitled “Mortgage Packet for Purchase” regarding a hijacked property, which includes altered Assignments and other documents, including a Promissory Note which is altered to include an alleged allonge to Pay To The Order Of: WMCS, INC. without recourse and executed by Patrick Soria as Managing Member of Deutsche Mellon National Asset, LLC.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(f), Ex. N.

	Violation(s)	Date	Description of Violation	Exhibit
9.	PI Order 7:1-15; 7:18-9:20; 17:26-18:6; 18:24-28; 19:14-21.	5/23/18	The Soria Defendants emailed Christian Soria with the business names and addresses for the corporate Defendants.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(g), Ex. O.
10.	PI Order 7:1-15; 7:18-9:20; 17:26-18:6; 18:24-28; 19:14-21.	5/27/18	The Soria Defendants changed the email address for a user at Integrititle for the e-recording service CSC.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(h), Ex. P.
11.	PI Order 7:8-15; 7:18-20; 10:8-10; 12:14-23; 13:1-7; 18:24-28.	5/30/18	The Soria Defendants added \$500 of the Receivership Funds to a reloadable American Express credit card ending in 7710.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(i), Ex. Q.
12.	PI Order 7:8-15; 7:18-20; 10:8-10; 12:14-23; 13:1-7; 18:24-28.	5/30/18	The Soria Defendants added \$500 of the Receivership Funds to a reloadable American Express credit card ending in 7710.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(j), Ex. R.
13.	PI Order 7:8-15; 7:18-20; 10:8-10; 12:14-23; 13:1-7; 18:24-28.	5/24/18 and 5/26/18	The Soria Defendants inquiring what they need to do in order for Westwood Legal to stay current with the Better Business Bureau and address two pending files. The attachment reflects that the Soria Defendants made a \$550 payment on behalf of Westwood Legal to the Better Business Bureau for accreditation fees and programs on 5/26/18.	Ede Decl., ¶ 12(l), Ex. S.
14.	PI Order 23:24-28	5/7/18	The Soria Defendants have not served a copy of the Order Entering the Preliminary Injunction and Appointing a Permanent Receiver (Dkt. No. 46) on all parties to each action on each court in which an action against Defendants, or any of them, is pending, as order by this Court.	Ede Decl., ¶ 14.