

ROBB EVANS
Receiver of
Equinox International Corp., et al.
11450 Sheldon Street
Sun Valley, California 91352-1121
Telephone No.: (818) 768-8100
Facsimile No.: (818) 768-8802

Federal Trade Commission v. Equinox International Corp., et al.
CASE No. CV-S-99-0969 KJD (Pal)

**Order Granting Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement by
and Among the U.S. Department of Justice, on Behalf of the
Internal Revenue Service, the Federal Trade Commission, Bill
Gould, and the Receiver**

Filed December 22, 2006

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

EQUINOX INTERNATIONAL
CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV-S-99-0969-KJD (PAL)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT BY AND AMONG
THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE, ON BEHALF OF THE
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE,
THE FEDERAL TRADE
COMMISSION, BILL GOULDD
AND THE RECEIVER**

DATE: December 15, 2006
TIME: 9:00 a.m.
PLACE: Courtroom 6-D

The Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement by and among the U.S. Department of Justice, on behalf of the Internal Revenue Service, the Federal Trade Commission, Bill Gould, and the Receiver (“Motion”) brought by Robb Evans, Receiver, came on regularly for hearing on December 15, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 6-D of the above-referenced Court, the Honorable Kent J. Dawson, United States District Judge, presiding. Gary Owen Caris of McKenna Long &

1 Aldridge LLP appeared on behalf of Robb Evans, Receiver (“Receiver”), Robert
2 Cary of Williams & Connolly LLP appeared telephonically on behalf of Bill
3 Gould, David C. Fix appeared telephonically on behalf of the Federal Trade
4 Commission, G. Mark Albright of Albright, Stoddard, Warnick & Albright
5 appeared telephonically on behalf of the class plaintiffs, and Dean A. Soma
6 appeared telephonically on behalf of the State of Hawaii. There were no other
7 appearances despite due and proper notice having been given. The Court, having
8 read and considered all papers filed in support of the Motion, including the
9 Settlement Agreement, a true and correct copy of which was attached as Exhibit 1
10 to the Motion (the “Settlement Agreement”), no opposition to the Motion having
11 been filed, the Court having made its findings of fact on the record in open court,
12 and good cause being shown therefor, it is

13 ORDERED that:

- 14 1. The Receiver’s Motion shall be and hereby is granted.
15 2. The Settlement Agreement, Exhibit 1 to the Motion, is approved in its
16 entirety and the Receiver is authorized to perform all acts necessary or convenient
17 for the Receiver to obtain the benefits and perform all obligations under the
18 Settlement Agreement.

19
20 Dated: 12/22/2006



21
22 Kent J. Dawson
United States District Judge